

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Warhington, D.C. 20231 www.uspic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/836,978	04/18/2001	Werner Metz	INTL-0489-US (P10269)	9073	
7:	590 12/19/2002				
Timothy N. Trop TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. 8554 KATY FWY, STE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805			EXAMI	MINER	
			KUMAR, SRII	KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2675		
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ا	$\Delta \Delta$
X	
	41/

Office Action Summary

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/836,978		METZ		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2675		

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

 Failure to Any reply earned page 	or period for reply is specially above, the maintain stations period will apply and will expire 31x (b) MICNTA's form the maining date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	unication.
Status		
<u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a)∐ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.	
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the matter closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ion of Claims	nerits is
4)⊠ CI	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.	,
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) <u></u> CI	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ CI	Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-13,17-23 and 27-29</u> is/are rejected.	
7)⊠ CI	Claim(s) <u>3-5,14-16 and 24-26</u> is/are objected to.	
8) <u></u> CI	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application	ion Papers	
9) <u></u> The	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)∐ The	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.	
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 The	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
11	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12)∐ The	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority und	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) 🗌 Ad	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) <u></u> □	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Sta application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	age
14) <u></u> Ack	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).
, -	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	

Attach	ıme	nt(s)

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/836,978

Art Unit: 2675

the invention.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

As to independent claim 11 of the instant application, applicant discloses "an article". It is unclear as to what "an article" is. Further, applicant also discloses "the article" in dependent claims 12-19. Examiner requests appropriate correction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 6-13, 17-23 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benson (US 5,650,800).

As to independent claim 1, a method comprising, resolving a display (Figs. 3, 5 and 6) into at least two regions (item 102); generating a different sequence of characteristic values in

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/836,978 Page 3

Art Unit: 2675

each region (Fig. 5, col. 5, lines 16-25, sensors have unique ID code); and resolving the position of a sensor with respect to said regions (Fig. 5, col. 9, lines 15-26).

As to independent claim 11, an article comprising a medium (memory Fig. 2, item 36) storing instructions that enable a processor (32) based system to resolve a display into at least two regions; and generate a different sequence of characteristic values in each region (Figs. 3, 5 and 6).

As to independent claim 20, a system comprising, a processor (32); a memory (36) coupled to said processor, said memory storing instructions that enable the system to resolve a display into at least two regions and generate a different sequence of characteristic values in each region (col. 5, lines 38-60).

As to dependent claims 2, 13 and 23, limitations of claims 1, 11 and 20, and further comprising, wherein resolving a display into two regions includes resolving a display into at least four regions (Figs. 3, 5 &6, item 102).

As to dependent claims 6, 17 and 27, limitations of claims 1, 11 and 20, and further comprising, including displaying a series of frames and interspersing, among said frames, additional frames having at least two regions each displaying a sequence of characteristic values (col. 9, lines 15-46). Fig. 5 of Benson shows several different regions with different characteristics displayed.

As to dependent claim 7, limitations of claim 6, and further comprising, including displaying said additional frames in a fashion such that they are substantially undetectable by the user (col. 7, lines 43-49).

Application/Control Number: 09/836,978 Page 4

Art Unit: 2675

As to dependent claims 8, 18, and 28, limitations of claims 1, 11 and 20, and further comprising, generating a different sequence of characteristic values by displaying a time sequence of frames each including at least two regions, and each of said regions displaying a timed sequence of characteristic values (col. 7, lines 43-67 where Benson periodically broadcasts system status).

As to dependent claims 9 and 19, limitations of claims 8 and 18, and further comprising, including interspersing frames containing said characteristic values and frames not containing said characteristic values (Fig. 5, the scrolling).

As to dependent claim 10, limitations of claim 1, and further comprising, developing a sequence using fewer characteristic values than the number of regions (Fig. 6).

As to dependent claims 12, 22 and 29, limitations of claims 11 and 20, see claim 1, above.

As to dependent claim 21, limitations of claim 20, and further comprising, including a display coupled to said processor (Fig. 2, item 32, col. 5, lines 39-42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benson (US 5,650,800).

Application/Control Number: 09/836,978 Page 5

Art Unit: 2675

As to dependent claim 30, limitations of claim 29, and further comprising, wherein said sensor is a light sensor that detects a characteristic value in the form of light. Although Benson does not state that the sensors are that of light sensors, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the sensors could have been light sensors. Benson discloses in col. 2, lines 43-47, "any sensor of the type conventionally used for perimeter or vault alarm systems, any sensor of the type conventionally used for fire alarm systems or combinations thereof is operatively connected to the sensor interface". It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that light sensors are used for perimeter or vault alarm systems as they are advantageous as breaking the light beam would "trip" the sensor.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-5, 14-16, and 24-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Srilakshmi K. Kumar whose telephone number is (703) 306 5575.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Saras, can be reached at (703) 305-9720.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Application/Control Number: 09/836,978

Art Unit: 2675

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone

number is (703) 306-0377.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Srilakshmi K. Kumar whose telephone number is 703 306 5575.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Steven J. Saras can be reached on 703 305 9720. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9314 for regular

communications and 703 308 9051 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305 4700.

Srilakshmi K. Kumar

Examiner

Art Unit 2675

SKK

December 13, 2002

STEVEN SARAS

Page 6

SUPERVISORY PATER A PARINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

SUPERVISOPY EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY OF WIER 2600